BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN THE MATTER OF EMERSON G. M. DIESEL, INC., 2 Appellant, PCHB No. 473 3 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT. VS. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 4 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 5 CONTROL AGENCY, 6 Respondent. 7

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 15th day of February, 1974, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant Emerson G. M. Diesel, Inc. appearing through its general service manager, Robert L. Steele and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being Walt Woodward (presiding) and Mary Ellen McCaffree; and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and arguments of the parties and having entered on the 3rd day of April, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order and the appellant having paid the civil penalty; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 3rd day of April, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this / POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
2	I, LaRene C. Barlin, certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing
3	document on the 16 day of 110. (, 1974, to each of the
4	following parties:
5	Mr. Robert L. Steele General Service Manager
6	Emerson G. M. Diesel, Inc. 6851 East Marginal Way South
7	Seattle, Washington 98108
8	Mr. Keith D. McGoffin Burkey, Marsico, Rovai & McGoffin
9	818 South Yakima Avenue Tacoma, Washington 98405
10	Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency
1	410 West Harrison Street Seattle, Washington 98119
2	Schalle, Masilington 70125
3	the foregoing being the last known post office addresses of the above-
4	named parties. • I further certify that proper postage had been affixed
5	to the envelopes deposited in the U.S. mail.
6	.2. 2
7	LARENE C. BARLIN
8	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
9	
0:	
1	
2	
3	
4	ı
5	
6	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3

27 AND ORDER

1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF EMERSON G. M. DIESEL, INC., 4 PCHB No. 473 Appellant, 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, VS. CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 7 Respondent. 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I came before two members of the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer, and Mary Ellen McCaffree) at a formal hearing in the Washington Commerce Building, Seattle, Washington, at 9:30 a.m. on February 15, 1974.

Appellant Emerson G. M. Diesel, Inc. was represented by Robert L. Steele, general service manager; respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appeared through its counsel Keith D. McGoffin. Ernest Yamaguchi, Seattle court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. Arguments were made.

From testimony heard, exhibits examined and arguments considered, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

At about 11:30 a.m. on October 25, 1973 from the northern stack at the rear of appellant's plant at 6851 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington, there was emitted for at least six consecutive minutes black smoke in a 2-3/4 to 3-3/4 opacity on a Ringelmann Chart.

II.

Section 9.03(a) of respondent's Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow an air contaminant emission for more than three minutes in a shade darker than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart.

III.

An inspector on respondent's staff after observing the above-described emission, issued Notice of Violation No. 8785 to appellant. Subsequently and in connection therewith, appellant was served with Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1240 in the amount of \$50.00, being one-fifth of the maximum amount which respondent may invoke for a violation of its Regulation I. That penalty is the subject of this appeal.

IV.

Appellant is a distributor for Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors. It is its business to see to it that the Diesel engines do not smoke. When a truck comes in that has an excessive smoke emission problem, the truck engine is tested on a dynamometer to determine the FINDINGS OF FACT.

difficulty. Before the test can be made, all the excess fuel must be removed from the engine. It takes from 10 to 15 minutes to dry out an engine. It was this drying out process that caused the black smoke emission on the subject day.

٧.

The motor that was being repaired was an S-series which had in it obsolete injectors which were replaced. The new Detroit Diesel engines all have a new N-series motor which will eliminate the smoking difficulty in the future.

VI.

Respondent suggested to appellant that an afterburner might be added to the testing equipment which would burn up the excessive smoke during the testing period, keeping it from being emitted into the air.

From these Findings the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant was in violation of respondent's Regulation I as cited in Notice of Violation No. 8785.

II.

Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1240 is reasonable and lenient, but in view of appellant's good prior record and its efforts to correct the error, further clemency is indicated.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

The appeal is denied, but appellant is directed to pay respondent

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

1

2

3

4

Б

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	\$25.00, the balance of \$25.00 being suspended on condition that no more
2	similar violations occur for a period of six months from the date this
3	Order becomes final.
4	DATED this 3nd day of april , 1974.
5	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
6	Walt Washrand
7	WALT WOODWARD, Chairman
8	man FOlem was all
9	MARY ELLEN MCCAFFREE, Mamber
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 4